Draft criteria for the accreditation of Initial Teacher Education programmes in Wales and the proposal for the Education Workforce Council to accredit initial teacher education

Consultation response form

Your name:

Jane Richmond (Chair)

Organisation (if applicable):

Real World Learning Cymru Partnership

e-mail/telephone number:

jane.mp@field-studies-council.org

Your address:

c/o Field Studies Centre, Margam Discovery Centre, Margram Park, Port Talbot SA13 2UA

Responses should be returned by 14 November 2016 to

Julie Owens
Workforce Strategy Unit
The Education Directorate
Welsh Government
Sarn Mynach
Llandudno Junction
Conwy
LL31 9RZ

or completed electronically and sent to:

e-mail:ITEducationAddysgGA@wales.gsi.gov.uk

"Launched in 2008, RWLCP brings together organisations that support the delivery of out of classroom learning, in the natural and built environment. The partnership shares good practice amongst its members and with the education sector through training events and resources. RWLCP acts as a voice for the sector helping to raise the profile of Out of Classroom Learning and highlight its many benefits. It aims to foster greater understanding and appreciation of its value and its vital contribution to education for sustainable development and global citizenship".

www.realworldlearningcymru.org

This response has been collated with views from: RSPB, Field Studies Council, Natural Resources Wales, John Muir Trust, Centre of Alternative Technology and Brecon Beacons National Park

Question	1 –	Should	the	EWC	have	the	statutory	responsibility	for	accrediting	all
programm	es of	initial tea	cher	educat	tion (IT	E) in	Wales?				

Agree	Disa	gree	Neither agree nor disagree
	·		-
Supporting comment	S		
RWLCP believes this of which in turn will help to	•	•	tency across the sector

Question 2 – Should the EWC establish a Teacher Education Accreditation Committee?

Agree		Disagree		Neither agree nor disagree
If no, please specify t	he furt	her detail that should	d be	provided.
,				r Education Accreditation rom a wide spectrum of the
RWLCP would like to s classroom learning rep		-	sustai	inability and out of

Question 3 – Do you agree that the criteria for the accreditation of ITE in Wales, as set out at Annex A, provides sufficient detail for the development of initial teacher education programmes?

Agree	Disagree	\boxtimes	Neither agree nor disagree	

If no, please specify the further detail that should be provided.

RWLCP does not agree that the criteria for the accreditation of ITE in Wales, as set out at Annex A, provides sufficient detail for the development of initial teacher education programmes.

There is no reference to out of classroom learning and the skills and competencies required to be able to provide learners with the rich hands on learning experiences required within Successful Futures. Throughout the document the term "classroom" is written in terms of the indoor environment only. An outdoor element also has the potential to attract a better calibre and wider breadth of student teacher.

We suggest the additional criteria:

Page 5: Add – "develop student teachers' confidence and competence in using the

outdoors and natural environment for teaching and learning".										
Page 17: Add WBF	G Act									
Page 20: Add – "effective use of the outdoor and natural environment as a learning environment and resource".										
These additions are required in order to produce newly qualified teachers who have confidence and competence to teach in an outdoor setting and understand the benefits it allows both in terms of a stimulating and safe setting and the associated physical and metal health benefits.										
RWLCP would like to refer Scotland's Curriculum for Excellence which highlights delivering in the outdoors throughout. http://www.educationscotland.gov.uk/learningandteaching/approaches/outdoorlearning/index.asp										
support for developi	RWLCP as a Network and through its individual members, can offer help and support for developing any out of classroom learning policy, providing sites, resources, qualified trainers and examples of existing accredited units.									
Question 4 – Do you agree with the roles and responsibilities proposed for schools and HE (higher education institution) partnerships?										
Agree		Disagree		Neither agree nor disagree						
Agree If no, please speci	fy the furt		ould be p	disagree						
_		her detail that sh	-	disagree provided.						
If no, please specification RWLCP has no con	nment to m	her detail that sho	this que	disagree provided.						
If no, please specification RWLCP has no con	nment to m	her detail that sho	this que	disagree provided. stion	providers					
If no, please specification RWLCP has no constitution Section 5 – Do you must meet for all products and products are specification.	you agree ogrammes	her detail that sho nake with regard to with the overarch of ITE study?	this que	disagree provided. stion rements that accredited provided provi	providers					
If no, please specific RWLCP has no constitution of the specific RWLCP h	you agree ogrammes in why.	her detail that sho nake with regard to with the overarch of ITE study? Disagree ment but wishes to	ing requi	disagree provided. Stion Rements that accredited provided agree nor disagree	providers					

Question 6 – Do you agree with the entry and selection minimum standard requirements for student teachers in Wales?

Agree		Disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	
				disagree	
If no, please explair	why.				
teachers across Math	ns/Num	d be minimum standard neracy, English/Welsh in r purposes of the Curric	orde	r to have the basics to	
charge a fee (which v	will be s	with the principal that the subject to a separate coreayable by HEIs participa	nsulta	ation) for the considerat	ion of
Agree		Disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	
If no place explain					
If no, please explair	ıwny				
RWLCP has no com	nent to	make with regard to this	s que	stion	
would have positive (a) opportunities for p	effects, persons n langu	ne policy under consider or increased positive eff s to use the Welsh langu age no less favourably t	fects, age?	on:	ed so
Capporting comme	113				
		unique way of describing nked to "Knowing place"			
		of Classroom learning proin a real and meaningful		• •	rce
would not have adve	rse effe persons	e policy under considera ects, or so that it would h s to use the Welsh langu age no less favourably t	ave o	decreased adverse effe	

Supporting comments

None

Question 10 – We have asked a number of specific questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use this space to report them.

Supporting comments

RWLCP would like to highlight that there is no reference to the outdoor environment, e.g. school grounds and the wider natural environment, as an important learning space and resource. RWLCP feels strongly that ITE should include the development of student teachers' competence in the use of the outdoors to help address matters such as health, wellbeing and cross-curricular learning.

The ITE programmes os study should aim to produce newly qualified teachers who have confidence and competence in an outdoor setting and continued CPD should be included in the proposed passport.

As stated earlier RWLCP as a Network and through its individual members can offer help and support for developing any policy related to outdoor learning and environmental education, provide evidence of its benefits and provide training opportunities and advice re existing accredited units.

Responses to consultations are likely to be made public, on the internet or in a report. If you would prefer your response to remain anonymous, please tick here: